arXiv:1703.00709v1 [math.CO] 2 Mar 2017

FIXING NUMBER OF CO-NORMAL PRODUCT OF GRAPHS

SHAHID UR REHMAN*, I. JAVAID

Abstract. An automorphism of a graph G is a bijective mapping from the vertex set of G to itself which preserves the adjacency and the non-adjacency relations of the vertices of G. A fixing set F of a graph G is a set of those vertices of G which when assigned distinct labels removes all the automorphisms of G, except the trivial one. The fixing number of a graph G, denoted by $fix(G)$, is the smallest cardinality of a fixing set of G. The co-normal product $G_1 * G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2, is a graph having the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and two distinct vertices $(g_1, g_2), (g_1', g_2')$ are adjacent if g_1 is adjacent to g_1' in G_1 or g_2 is adjacent to g_2' in G_2. We define a general co-normal product of $k \geq 2$ graphs which is a natural generalization of the co-normal product of two graphs. In this paper, we discuss automorphisms of the co-normal product of graphs using the automorphisms of its factors and prove results on the cardinality of the automorphism group of the co-normal product of graphs. We prove that $max\{fix(G_1), fix(G_2)\} \leq fix(G_1 * G_2)$, for any two graphs G_1 and G_2. We also compute the fixing number of the co-normal product of some families of graphs.

1. Introduction

A *graph* G is an ordered pair $(V(G), E(G))$ consisting of a set $V(G)$ of *vertices* and a set $E(G)$ of *edges*. The number of vertices in G is called the *order* of G, denoted as $|G|$. The number of edges in G is called the *size* of G. If two vertices u and v are joined by an edge, then they are called *adjacent* vertices, denoted as $u \sim v$ and if u, v are not joined by any edge, then they are called *non-adjacent*, denoted as $u \nsim v$. The *open neighborhood* of a vertex u in G is the set $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) : v \sim u \text{ in } G\}$ and the *closed neighborhood* of u in G is the set $N[u] = N(u) \cup \{u\}$. The number $|N(v)|$ is called the *degree of* v in G, denoted as $deg_G(v)$ *or* $deg(v)$. A vertex having degree $|G| - 1$ is called a *dominating vertex* of G. All graphs considered in this paper are simple. For basic concepts not given in this paper see [5, 20].

A bijective mapping π on a non empty set S is called a *permutation* on S. The set of all permutations on a set S with n elements is called the *symmetric group* of S, denoted as S_n. A *graph homomorphism* is a mapping between vertex sets of two graphs that respects their structure. More concretely, it maps adjacent vertices to adjacent vertices. A bijective graph homomorphism is called *isomorphism* and

Key words and phrases. Fixing set; automorphism; co-normal product of graphs.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25, 05C76

* Corresponding author: shahidurrehman1982@gmail.com.

an isomorphism from a graph G onto itself is called an *automorphism* of G. The collection of all automorphisms of a graph G forms a group under the operation of composition of functions, denoted as $Aut(G)$. Since, every automorphism is a permutation on $V(G)$ so $Aut(G) \subseteq S_{|G|}$, where the equality holds if G is complete or null graph. An automorphism $\alpha \in Aut(G)$ is said to *fix* a vertex $w \in V(G)$, if $\alpha(w) = w$. The *stabilizer* of a vertex w in a graph G is the set of all automorphisms of G that fixes w, denoted as $Stab(w)$. For a set $\mathcal{F} \subset V(G)$, an automorphism α is said to fix the set $\mathcal{F}$, if for every $w \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\alpha(w) = w$. The set of automorphisms that fix $\mathcal{F}$ is a subgroup of $Aut(G)$, denoted as $Stab\mathcal{F}$ and $Stab\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{w \in \mathcal{F}} Stab(w)$. A vertex $x \in V(G)$ is called a *fixed vertex* if $\alpha(x) = x$ for all $\alpha \in Aut(G)$, i.e., $Stab(x) = Aut(G)$. If there exist an automorphism α such that $\alpha(u) = v$, then u and v are called similar vertices. The set of all vertices which are similar to v in G is called the *orbit* of v, denoted as $\mathcal{O}(v)$. A graph G is said to be a *rigid* graph if for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, $|\mathcal{O}(v)| = 1$ and G is called a *non-rigid* graph, if there exist at least one vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $|\mathcal{O}(v)| \neq 1$. All the graphs considered in this paper are non-rigid unless otherwise stated.

Product graph of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is a new graph having the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and the adjacency of vertices is defined under some rule using the adjacency and the non- adjacency relations of G_1 and G_2. In 1962, Ore introduced a product graph [20], with the name *cartesian sum of graphs* and Hammack et al. [16], named it $co-normal\ product\ graph$. For a graph G_1 having the vertex set $\{g_1^1, g_2^1, \ldots, g_{m_1}^1\}$ and G_2 having the vertex set $\{g_1^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_{m_2}^2\}$, the graph having the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2) = \{(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_i^1 \in V(G_1)\ and\ g_j^2 \in V(G_2), 1 \leq i \leq m_1, 1 \leq j \leq m_2\}$ and the adjacency relation defined as $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \sim (g_r^1, g_s^2)$, if $g_i^1 \sim g_r^1$ in G_1 or $g_j^2 \sim g_s^2$ in G_2, is called the $co-normal\ product\ graph$, denoted as $G_1 * G_2$. For any two graphs G_1 and G_2, the graph obtained from the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$, by defining the adjacency relation as $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \sim (g_r^1, g_s^2)$, if $g_i^1 \sim g_r^1$ in G_1 or $g_i^1 = g_r^1$ and $g_j^2 \sim g_s^2$ in G_2, is called the *lexicographic product graph*, denoted as $G_1[G_2]$. It can be checked that, $G_1[G_2] = G_1 * G_2$ if and only if G_1 is a complete graph and G_2 is an arbitrary graph or G_1 is an arbitrary and G_2 is a null graph. For more detail on the product graphs, see [16, 17]. Different properties and results regarding coloring of co-normal product graph were discussed in [7, 8]. Also, Yang [24], gave some results on the chromatic number of the co-normal product of graphs. For further work on the chromatic number of this product graph, see [2, 6, 21].

The problem of distinguishing the vertices of a graph has been studied using two approaches as mentioned in [15]. One involves the concept of the metric dimension/location number, introduced by Harary and Melter [12] and independently, by Slater [23]. A set $W \subseteq V(G)$ is a resolving set for G, if for any two distinct vertices $x, y \in V(G) \setminus W$, there exist at least one vertex $w \in W$ such that $d(x, w) \neq d(y, w)$. The minimum cardinality of a resolving set of G is called the

metric dimension/location number of G [12, 23], denoted as $dim(G)$. This notion use the distance between the vertices of the graph. The second approach uses the notion of the symmetry breaking that was formalized by Albertson and Collins [1], and independently, by Harary [13, 14]. In this approach, a subset of the vertex set is colored in such a way that all the automorphisms of the graph result in an identity automorphism. This approach leads to the idea of the fixing sets of graphs.

In 2001, Erwin and Harary [14], introduced the concept of the fixing number of a graph. This notion has its application to the problem of programming a robot to manipulate objects [19]. A set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq V(G)$ is called a *fixing set* for G, if $Stab\mathcal{F} = \{i_d\}$, where i_d is the identity automorphism of G. The minimum cardinality of a fixing set of G is called the *fixing number* of G, denoted as $fix(G)$. A fixing set containing $fix(G)$ number of vertices is called a *minimum fixing set* of G. In [15], Harary and Erwin gave upper bounds for the fixing number of a graph in terms of the number of orbits under the action of $Aut(G)$ and in terms of the order of $Aut(G)$. Further, they found a necessary and sufficient condition for a tree to have fixing number 1 and prove that for every tree there is a minimum fixing set consisting only of leaves of the tree. For more results on the fixing number and related parameters of graphs, see [3, 9, 10, 11].

Considering the fact that every resolving set is a fixing set and automorphisms preserve distances, the metric dimension and the fixing number of a graph are closely related notions [4, 15]. Cáceres et al. studied this relation and answered the following question which appeared first in [4]: Can the difference between both parameters of a graph of order n be arbitrarily large? In [22], we studied the first notion the metric dimension of co-normal product graph and give bounds for the metric dimension of this product. In this paper, we study the second notion, the fixing number of co-normal product graph.

In next section, we will define general co-normal product of graphs and prove results on the automorphisms and automorphism group of co-normal product of graphs. We give sharp bounds for the cardinality of automorphism group of co-normal product of graphs. In section 3, we will find the fixing number of the co-normal product of some families of graphs and give sharp bounds for the fixing number of co-normal product of graphs.

2. Automorphisms of Co-normal Product

The co-normal product graph of two connected graphs G_1 and G_2 has the diameter at most two and $G_1 * G_2 = G_2 * G_1$. Some other results describing the structure of simple co-normal product graph are given in [8, 16, 18, 22]. **Observation 1.** [22] For any vertex $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$, $deg(g_i^1, g_j^2) = |G_2|deg(g_i^1) + (|G_1| - deg(g_i^1))deg(g_i^2)$. **Observation 2.** [22] For any vertex $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$, $N(g_i^1, g_j^2) = N(g_i^1) \times V(G_2) \cup (N(g_i^1))^c \times N(g_i^2)$.

Theorem 2.1. [8] *$G_1 * G_2$ is connected if and only if one of the following holds:*
(1) $G_2 = K_n$ for some $n \geq 2$ and G_1 is connected.
(2) $G_1 = K_m$ for some $m \geq 2$ and G_2 is connected.
(3) G_1 and G_2 are nonempty and at least one of G_1 or G_2 is without isolated vertices.

Theorem 2.2. [18] *Let G_1 and G_2 be two non-trivial graphs such that at least one of them is non-complete and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then the following assertions hold:*
(1) $diam(G_1 * N_n) = max\{2, diam(G_1)\}$.
(2) G_1 and G_2 have isolated vertices, then $diam(G_1 * G_2) = \infty$.
(3) If neither G_1 nor G_2 has isolated vertices, then $diam(G_1 * G_2) = 2$.
(4) If $diam(G_2) \leq 2$, then $diam(G_1 * G_2) = 2$.
(5) If $diam(G_2) > 2$, G_2 has no isolated vertices and G_1 is a non-empty graph having at least one isolated vertex, then $diam(G_1 * G_2) = 3$.

Theorem 2.3. [16]*For every $\alpha \in Aut(G_1)$ and $\beta \in Aut(G_2)$, there exists automorphisms f_{G_1} and f_{G_2} on $G_1 * G_2$ given by $f_{G_1}(x, i) = (\alpha(x), i)$ and $f_{G_2}(x, i) = (x, \beta(i))$.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let G_1, G_2 be two graphs and $\lambda : V(G_1 * G_2) \to V(G_1 * G_2)$ be a mapping.*
1) If $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$ defined as $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) = (\alpha(g_i^1), \beta(g_j^2))$, where $\alpha \in Aut(G_1)$ and $\beta \in Aut(G_2)$, then λ is an automorphism on $G_1 * G_2$.
2) If G_1 is isomorphic to G_2 and $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$ defined as $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) = (\beta(g_j^2), \alpha(g_i^1))$, where α is an isomorphism on G_1 to G_2 and β is an isomorphism on G_2 to G_1, then λ is an automorphism on $G_1 * G_2$.

Proof. 1)Let $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) = (\alpha(g_i^1), \beta(g_j^2))$, $\alpha \in Aut(G_1)$ and $\beta \in Aut(G_2)$, then λ is a bijective mapping because α and β are bijective mappings. Suppose, $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \sim (g_k^1, g_l^2)$ then $g_i^1 \sim g_k^1$ or $g_j^2 \sim g_l^2$ also $\alpha(g_i^1) \sim \alpha(g_k^1)$ or $\beta(g_j^2) \sim \beta(g_l^2)$, because α, β are automorphisms of G_1 and G_2, respectively. So, by the definition of co-normal product $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) \sim \lambda(g_k^1, g_l^2)$. Now suppose, $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \nsim (g_k^1, g_l^2)$ then $g_i^1 \nsim g_k^1$ and $g_j^2 \nsim g_l^2$ also $\alpha(g_i^1) \nsim \alpha(g_k^1)$ and $\beta(g_j^2) \nsim \beta(g_l^2)$, because α, β are automorphisms of G_1 and G_2, respectively. So, by the definition of co-normal product $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) \nsim \lambda(g_k^1, g_l^2)$. Hence, λ is an automorphism.
2)Let $G_1 \cong G_2$ and $\alpha : G_1 \to G_2$, $\beta : G_2 \to G_1$ are isomorphisms, the map λ defined as $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) = (\beta(g_j^2), \alpha(g_i^1))$ is a bijective mapping due to the bijectivity of α and β. Also, λ is a homomorphism because α, β are isomorphisms, which gives that λ is an automorphism. □

Corollary 2.5. *For any two graphs G_1 and G_2, $Stab(g^1) \times Stab(g^2) \subseteq Stab(g^1, g^2)$ for each $(g^1, g^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in Stab(g^1)$ and $\beta \in Stab(g^2)$. By Theorem 2.4 (1), the mapping $\lambda(g^1, g^2) = (\alpha(g^1), \beta(g^2))$ is an automorphism on $G_1 * G_2$ such that $\lambda(g^1, g^2) = (g^1, g^2)$. □

For a positive integer $k \geq 2$, we define a product graph obtained from $G_1, G_2, \ldots,$ G_k with $|G_i| = m_i \geq 2$ for each i. The graph having the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2) \times \ldots \times V(G_k)$, where $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k)$ is adjacent to $(\acute{g_1}, \acute{g_2}, \ldots, \acute{g_k})$ whenever g_i is adjacent to $\acute{g_i}$ in G_i for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, is called the *generalized co-normal product graph* of $G_1, G_2, \ldots,$ G_k, denoted by $G_1 * G_2 \ldots * G_k$. Let $\mathcal{G} = G_1 * G_2 * \ldots * G_k$, where $V(G_i) = \{g_1^i, g_2^i, \ldots, g_{m_i}^i\}$; $m_i \geq 2$ for each i. For $k = 2$, $\mathcal{G} = G_1 * G_2$ is the usual co-normal product graph and for $k > 2$, $\mathcal{G}$ is a natural generalization of simple co-normal product therefore its properties too. For any vertex $x \in V(G_i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define a vertex set $\mathcal{G}(x) = \{(g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^{i-1}, x, g^{i+1}, \ldots, g^k) | g^j \in V(G_j), j \neq i\}$. Note that, the induced subgraph $< \mathcal{G}(x) > \cong G_1 * G_2 * \ldots * G_{i-1} * G_{i+1} * \ldots * G_k$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\pi \in S_k$ be a permutation such that $\psi_i : V(G_i) \to V(G_{\pi(i)})$ is an isomorphism for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. The mapping $\lambda : V(\mathcal{G}) \to V(\mathcal{G})$, defined as $\lambda(g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^k) = (\psi_{\pi^{-1}(1)}(g^{\pi^{-1}(1)}), \psi_{\pi^{-1}(2)}(g^{\pi^{-1}(2)}), \ldots, \psi_{\pi^{-1}(k)}(g^{\pi^{-1}(k)})$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{G}$.*

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the co-normal product graph of $k \geq 2$ graphs. For every vertex $(g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^k) \in V(\mathcal{G})$, we have $Stab(g^1) \times Stab(g^2) \times \ldots \times Stab(g^k) \subseteq Stab(g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^k)$.*

Let i_{G_l} represents the identity automorphism of G_l for each $1 \leq l \leq k$. For π be the identity permutation and $\psi_j \neq i_{G_j}$ for some j, the automorphism defined in Corollary 2.6, having the form $(i_{G_1}, i_{G_2}, \ldots, i_{G_{j-1}}, \psi_j, i_{G_{j+1}}, \ldots, i_{G_k})$, is called a *rotation* of $\mathcal{G}$ about G_j. If $G_i \cong G_j$ for some $i \neq j$ and π is a non identity permutation then the automorphism λ defined in Corollary 2.6, is called a $flip$ of $\mathcal{G}$. A non identity automorphism α of a graph G, is called a $(u, v) - interchange$ if $\alpha(u) = v$, $\alpha(v) = u$ and $\alpha(x) = x$ for all $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$. All results given in this paper for $G_1 * G_2$ also holds for $G_2 * G_1$ due to the commutative property of this product and these results can be generalized to the general co-normal product graph of $k > 2$ graphs due to the fact that $G_1 * G_2 \ldots * G_k = (G_1 * G_2 \ldots * G_{i-1} * G_{i+1} * \ldots * G_k) * G_i$ for each i.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $g_j^2, g_l^2 \in V(G_2)$ be two distinct true twin vertices. The vertices $(g_i^1, g_j^2), (g_i^1, g_l^2) \in \mathcal{G}(g_i^1)$ for some $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$ are true twins in $G_1 * G_2$ if and only if g_i^1 is dominating vertex of G_1.*

Proof. Suppose that $(g_i^1, g_j^2), (g_k^1, g_l^2)$ are true twins in $G_1 * G_2$, we are to show that g_i^1 is dominating in G_1. Assume contrary that g_i^1 is not dominating vertex of G_1, so there exist at least one vertex say $g_k^1 \in V(G_1) \setminus \{g_i^1\}$ such that $g_i^1 \nsim g_k^1$ and $(g_k^1, g_j^2) \notin N(g_i^1, g_j^2)$ but $(g_k^1, g_j^2) \in N(g_i^1, g_l^2)$, a contradiction. Now suppose that g_i^1 is dominating vertex of G_1, then clearly $(g_i^1, g_j^2), (g_i^1, g_l^2)$ are true twins in $G_1 * G_2$. $\square$

Proposition 2.9. *Let $g_k^1 \in G_1$ be a dominating vertex and $\psi \in Aut(G_2)$. The mapping $\lambda : V(G_1 * G_2) \to V(G_1 * G_2)$, defined as $\lambda((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = (i_{G_1}(g_i^1), \psi(g_j^2))$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in \mathcal{G}(g_k^1)$ and $\lambda((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = (i_{G_1}(g_i^1), i_{G_2}(g_j^2))$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2) \setminus \mathcal{G}(g_k^1)$, is an automorphism on $G_1 * G_2$.*

Proof. Since g_k^1 is dominating vertex so for any vertex $g_i^1 \neq g_k^1$ in G_1, we have $\mathcal{G}(g_i^1) \subset N((g_k^1, g_j^2))$, for each $g_j^2 \in V(G_2)$. Also, the induced subgraph $< \mathcal{G}(g_k^1) > \cong G_2$, hence λ is an automorphism on $G_1 * G_2$. □

Theorem 2.10. *Let $g_k^1 \in V(G_1)$ be a non dominating vertex and $\psi \in Aut(G_2)$ be a non identity automorphism. The mapping $\lambda : V(G_1 * G_2) \to V(G_1 * G_2)$, defined as $\lambda((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = (i_{G_1}(g_i^1), \psi(g_j^2))$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in \mathcal{G}(g_k^1)$ and $\lambda((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = (i_{G_1}(g_i^1), i_{G_2}(g_j^2))$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2) \setminus \mathcal{G}(g_k^1)$, is an automorphism of $G_1 * G_2$ if and only if $g_j^2, \psi(g_j^2)$ are false twins in G_2.*

Proof. Suppose λ defined above, is an automorphism of $G_1 * G_2$. We are to show that $g_j^2, \psi(g_j^2)$ are false twins in G_2. Assume contrary that $g_j^2, \psi(g_j^2)$ are not false twins in G_2, then there exist at least one vertex $g_m^2 \in V(G_2)$ such that $g_m^2 \in N(g_j^2)$ and $g_m^2 \notin N(\psi(g_j^2))$ or $g_m^2 \notin N(g_j^2)$ and $g_m^2 \in N(\psi(g_j^2))$, also there exist at least one vertex $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$ such that $g_i^1 \notin N(g_k^1)$ and $\lambda((g_i^1, g_m^2)) = (g_i^1, g_m^2)$. Now check that $d((g_i^1, g_m^2), (g_k^1, g_j^2)) \neq d(\lambda((g_i^1, g_m^2)), \lambda((g_k^1, g_j^2)))$, which gives that λ is not an isometry, a contradiction. Conversely, $g_j^2, \psi(g_j^2)$ are false twins in G_2, then for each $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$, the vertices (g_i^1, g_j^2) and $(g_i^1, \psi(g_j^2))$ are also false twins in $G_1 * G_2$. Hence, λ is an automorphism. □

Using the structure of co-normal product of two graphs and Theorem 2.10, we have following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *Automorphism group of $G_1 * G_2$ have a $((g_i^1, g_j^2), (g_k^1, g_l^2))-$interchange if and only if one of the following holds:*
(1) $g_i^1 = g_k^1$, $g_j^2 \neq g_l^2$ and $N(g_j^2) = N(g_l^2)$.
(2) $g_i^1 \neq g_k^1$, $g_j^2 = g_l^2$ and $N(g_i^1) = N(g_k^1)$.
(3) $g_i^1 = g_k^1$ with g_i^1 dominating in G_1, $g_j^2 \neq g_l^2$ and $N[g_j^2] = N[g_l^2]$.
(4) $g_i^1 \neq g_k^1$, $g_j^2 = g_l^2$ with g_j^2 dominating in G_2 and $N[g_i^1] = N[g_k^1]$.
(5) $g_i^1 \neq g_k^1$, $g_j^2 \neq g_l^2$ and $N(g_i^1) = N(g_k^1)$, $N(g_j^2) = N(g_l^2)$.
(6) $g_i^1 \neq g_k^1$ are dominating in G_1 and $g_j^2 \neq g_l^2$ are dominating in G_2.

Theorem 2.4, gives that $Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2) \subseteq Aut(G_1 * G_2)$ for any two graphs G_1 and G_2. Also, $G_1 * G_2$ is a complete graph if and only if G_1, G_2 both are complete graphs. Hence, we have following bounds:

$$|Aut(G_1)||Aut(G_2)| \leq |Aut(G_1 * G_2)| \leq (|G_1||G_2|)!$$

If G_1, G_2 are rigid, non isomorphic and at least one of them have no dominating vertex, then $Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2) = Aut(G_1 * G_2)$. In next theorem, we give conditions on G_1 and G_2 under which this lower bound can be attain.

Theorem 2.12. *For any two non isomorphic, non rigid graphs G_1 and G_2, $Aut(G_1 * G_2) = Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$ if and only if nor G_1 nor G_2 have false twins and dominating vertices.*

Proof. Let $Aut(G_1 * G_2) = Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$, we are to show that G_1, G_2 have no false twins. Assume contrary that G_1 have false twins, then the mapping defined in Theorem 2.10, is an automorphism of $G_1 * G_2$ and if G_1 has a dominating vertex, then the mapping defined in Proposition 2.9, is an automorphism of $G_1 * G_2$, so we have a contradiction. Hence, nor G_1 nor G_2 have false twins and dominating vertices.
Conversely, suppose that G_1 and G_2 both have no false twins and dominating vertices. Theorem 2.4, gives that $Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2) \subseteq Aut(G_1 * G_2)$ for any two graphs G_1 and G_2. Let $\lambda \in Aut(G_1 * G_2)$, we are to show that $\lambda \in Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$. Assume contrary that $\lambda \notin Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$ and $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) = (g_k^1, g_l^2)$ such that g_i^1 is not similar to g_k^1 in G_1 or g_j^2 is not similar to g_l^2 in G_2. If g_i^1 is not similar to g_k^1 in G_1, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_j^2 \in G_2\} > \ncong G_2$ and if g_j^2 is not similar to g_l^2 in G_2, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_i^1 \in G_1\} > \ncong G_1$ which gives that λ is not an automorphism. Hence, $Aut(G_1 * G_2) = Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$. □

Corollary 2.13. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two non isomorphic, non rigid graphs. For each $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$, $Stab(g_i^1, g_j^2) = Stab(g_i^1) \times Stab(g_j^2)$ if and only if nor G_1, nor G_2 have false twins and dominating vertices.*

Corollary 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the co-normal product graph of $k \geq 2$, non isomorphic and non rigid graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$. $Aut(\mathcal{G}) = Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2) \times \ldots \times Aut(G_k)$ if and only if each G_i; $1 \leq i \leq k$, have no false twins and dominating vertices.*

Theorem 2.15. *For a rigid graph G_1 and a non rigid graph G_2, $|Aut(G_1 * G_2)| = |Aut(G_2)|$ if and only if G_1 have no dominating vertex and G_2 have no false twins.*

Proof. Suppose $|Aut(G_1 * G_2)| = |Aut(G_2)|$, we are to show that G_1 have no dominating vertex and G_2 have no false twins. Suppose G_1 has a dominating vertex, then for any non trivial automorphism on G_2, the mapping defined in Proposition 2.9, exist and if $g_j^2 \neq g_l^2 \in V(G_2)$ are false twins, then by Corollary 2.11, for any vertex $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$, there exist a $((g_i^1, g_j^2), (g_i^1, g_l^2)) - interchange$ in $Aut(G_1 * G_2)$, a contradiction.
Conversely, since G_1 is rigid so $|Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)| = |Aut(G_2)|$, Theorem 2.4, gives that $Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2) \subseteq Aut(G_1 * G_2)$, so we only need to show that $Aut(G_1 * G_2) \subseteq Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$. Let $\lambda \in Aut(G_1 * G_2)$, since G_1 is rigid so λ is not a rotation of $G_1 * G_2$ about G_1 and $G_1 \ncong G_2$ so λ is not a flip of $G_1 * G_2$. Also, $Aut(G_1 * G_2)$ have no interchange because G_2 have no false twins and G_1 have no dominating vertex. Hence, λ is a rotation of $G_1 * G_2$ about G_2 because $< \mathcal{G}(g_i^1) > \cong G_2$ for each $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$ which gives that $\lambda \in Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)$. □

Corollary 2.16. *For G_1 rigid having no dominating vertex and $G_2 \cong P_n$; $n \geq 4$, $Aut(G_1 * G_2) \cong S_2$.*

Theorem 2.17. *For any two rigid isomorphic graphs G_1 and G_2, $Aut(G_1 * G_2) \cong S_2$.*

Proof. Since, G_1 and G_2 are rigid graphs so $|Aut(G_1) \times Aut(G_2)| = 1$ and $G_1 \cong G_2$ gives that there exist a unique isomorphism ϕ from G_1 to G_2 and a unique isomorphism ψ from G_2 to G_1. By Theorem 2.4 (2), the mapping $\lambda = (\phi, \psi)$ defined as $\lambda((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = (\psi(g_j^2), \phi(g_i^1))$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$, is an automorphism. Also, this automorphism is unique. □

Theorem 2.18. *The graph $G_1 * G_2$ is rigid if and only if $G_1 \ncong G_2$ and both G_1, G_2 are rigid graphs.*

Proof. Let $G_1 * G_2$ is a rigid graph. To show that $G_1 \cong G_2$, assume contrary that $G_1 \cong G_2$, then by Theorem 2.4 (2), $Aut(G_1 * G_2)$ have a flip which gives a contradiction. Now if G_2 have false twins, then by Corollary 2.11, $Aut(G_1 * G_2)$ have interchanges again a contradiction. Hence, G_1 and G_2 must be rigid and non isomorphic graphs.
Conversely, suppose $\lambda \in Aut(G_1 * G_2)$, since G_1, G_2 are rigid and non isomorphic graphs so λ is not a rotation as well as flip in $Aut(G_1 * G_2)$. Also, G_1 and G_2 have no false twins so λ is not an interchange. Now suppose $\lambda((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = (g_k^1, g_l^2)$, then we have following cases: 1) If $g_i^1 = g_k^1$ and g_j^2 is not similar to g_l^2 in G_2, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_j^2 \in G_2\} > \ncong G_2$. 2) If g_i^1 is not similar to g_k^1 in G_1 and $g_j^2 = g_l^2$, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_i^1 \in V(G_1)\} > \ncong G_1$. 3) If g_i^1 is not similar to g_k^1 in G_1 or g_j^2 is not similar to g_l^2 in G_2, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_i^1 \in V(G_1)\} > \ncong G_1$ or $< \{\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) : g_j^2 \in G_2\} > \ncong G_2$. Hence, $\lambda(g_i^1, g_j^2) = (g_i^1, g_j^2)$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$ which gives that $G_1 * G_2$ is a rigid graph. □

3. Fixing Number of Co-normal Product

This section is devoted to the study fixing number of co-normal product of graphs. Theorem 2.18, gives that $fix(G_1 * G_2) = 0$ if and only if $G_1 \ncong G_2$, G_1 and G_2 are rigid graphs. Also, Theorem 2.18, gives that for isomorphic rigid graphs G_1 and G_2, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = 1$. In [15], Erwin and Harary gave the following observation.
Observation 3. [15] Let G be a non rigid graph. Then $fix(G) = 1$ if and only if G has an orbit of cardinality $|Aut(G)|$.

The *complement graph* of a graph G, is a graph having the same vertex set as G and any two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G, denoted as G^c. The *strong product* of two graphs G_1, G_2 is a graph having the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and the adjacency is defined as: $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \sim (g_k^1, g_l^2)$ if and only if $g_i^1 = g_k^1$ and $g_j^2 \sim g_l^2$, $g_i^1 \sim g_k^1$ and $g_j^2 = g_l^2$ or $g_i^1 \sim g_k^1$ and $g_j^2 \sim g_l^2$, denoted as

$G_1 \boxtimes G_2$. In [18], Kuziak et. al. proved that $(G_1 * G_2)^c = G_1^c \boxtimes G_2^c$, where $G_1^c \boxtimes G_2^c$ is the strong product of G_1^c and G_2^c. Hence, we have following result.

Theorem 3.1. *For any two graphs G_1, G_2, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = fix(G_1^c \boxtimes G_2^c)$.*

The *join* of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph having the vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and the edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{g_i^1 \sim g_j^2 | g_i^1 \in V(G_1), g_j^2 \in V(G_2)\}$, denoted as $G_1 + G_2$.

Observation 4. If G_1 has a dominating vertex g_i^1, then $G_1 * G_2 =< V(G_1) \setminus \{g_i^1\} > *G_2 + < \mathcal{G}(g_i^1) >$.

Observation 5. Any rigid graph can have at most one dominating vertex.

Observation 6. If G_1 has r components $G_1^1, G_2^1, \ldots, G_r^1$ and G_2 has s components $G_1^2, G_2^2, \ldots, G_s^2$, then for each $x \in V(G_i^1) \times V(G_j^2)$ and $y, z \in V(G_k^1) \times V(G_l^2)$ we have $d(x, y) = d(x, z)$ for all $i \neq k$, $j \neq l$ in $G_1 * G_2$.

Proposition 3.2. *For a rigid graph G having a dominating vertex v, the induced subgraph $\acute{G} =< V(G) \setminus \{v\} >$ of G is also rigid.*

Proof. Let ψ be an automorphism of $\acute{G}$. The mapping $\psi_v : V(G) \to V(G)$ define as: $\psi_v(v) = v$ and $\psi_v(u) = \psi(u)$ for $u \in V(G) \setminus \{v\}$, ψ_v is a non trivial automorphism of G if and only if ψ is a non trivial automorphism of $\acute{G}$. Hence, $\acute{G}$ is rigid. □

Lemma 3.3. *For any dominating vertex $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$, the vertices of the class $\mathcal{G}(g_i^1) \subset V(G_1 * G_2)$, are not fixed by any vertex from $V(G_1 * G_2) \setminus \mathcal{G}(g_i^1)$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let P_n be the path graph having n vertices, then for any two integers $s, t \geq 2$,*

$$fix(P_s * P_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ \ s,\ t \geq 4, \\ 2 & if \ \ s=2,\ t \geq 4, \\ 3 & if \ \ s=t=2 \text{ or } s=2,\ t=3, \\ 5 & if \ \ s=t=3, \\ t+1 & if \ \ s=3,\ t \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $s, t \geq 4$, we discuss two cases: 1) Suppose $s \neq t$, then $Aut(P_s * P_t) = S_2 \oplus S_2$ and the four nodes are similar, so by Observation 3, $fix(P_s * P_t) = 1$. 2) Suppose $s = t$, then $Aut(P_s * P_t) = D_4$ and the eight nodes that are adjacent to the four nodes of degree are similar, so $fix(P_s * P_t) = 1$.

For $s = 2$, $t \geq 4$, P_s have two dominating vertices, so by Lemma 3.3, we have $fix(P_s * P_t) = 2$.

For $s = t = 2$, the graph $P_s * P_t$ is complete graph so $fix(P_s * P_t) = 3$. Now for $s = 2$ and $t = 3$, the graph $P_s * P_t$ have two equivalence classes of false twins with cardinality 2. and one class of true twins because P_t also have a dominating vertex, so $fix(P_s * P_t) = 3$.

If $s = t = 3$, then $P_s * P_t$ have an equivalence class of false twins with cardinality 4 and two classes classes of false twins with cardinality 2 and one dominating vertex,

so $fix(P_s * P_t) = 5$.
For $s = 3$ and $t \geq 4$, the graph $P_s * P_t$ have t equivalence with cardinality 2 and P_s has a dominating vertex, so by Lemma 3.3, $fix(P_s * P_t) = t + 1$.

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let G_1 be a rigid graph having no dominating vertex and G_2 be a non rigid graph having no false twins, then $Stab((g_i^1, g_j^2)) = Stab(g_i^1) \times Stab(g_j^2)$ for each $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$.*

Proof. Using Corollary 2.7, we have $Stab(g_i^1) \times Stab(g_j^2) \subseteq Stab(g_i^1, g_j^2)$. Let $\lambda \in Stab((g_i^1, g_j^2))$, be a non identity automorphism such that $\lambda((g_k^1, g_l^2)) = (g_m^1, g_n^2)$ for some $(g_k^1, g_l^2) \neq (g_m^1, g_n^2)$. Suppose $g_k^1 \neq g_m^1$, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_k^1, g_l^2) | g_k^1 \in V(G_1)\} > \ncong G_1$, because G_1 is rigid which gives that λ is not an automorphism. Let $\lambda((g_k^1, g_l^2)) = (g_k^1, g_m^2)$ such that g_l^2 is not similar to g_m^2 in G_2, then the induced subgraph $< \{\lambda(g_k^1, g_l^2) | g_l^2 \in V(G_2)\} > \ncong G_2$. Hence, there exist an automorphism $\psi \in Stab(g_j^2)$ such that $\lambda = (i_{G_1}, \psi)$, which gives that $\lambda \in Stab(g_i^1) \times Stab(g_j^2)$. □

Theorem 3.6. *For any two non isomorphic graphs G_1 and G_2, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = max\{fix(G_1), fix(G_2)\}$, if one of the following hold:*
1) G_1 and G_2 both are rigid.
2) G_1 rigid having no dominating vertex and G_2 non rigid graph with out false twins.
3) G_1, G_2 are non rigid graphs, having no dominating vertex and no false twins.

Proof. 1) Directly follows from Theorem 2.18
2) Let $fix(G_2) = l \geq 1$ and $F_2 = \{g_1^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_l^2\}$ be a minimum fixing set for G_2. For any vertex $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$, consider the set $F(g_i^1) = \{(g_i^1, g_j^2) | g_j^2 \in F_2\} \subseteq V(G_1 * G_2)$. First, we show that $F(g_i^1)$ is a fixing set for $G_1 * G_2$. By Lemma 3.5, $Stab(g_i^1, g_j^2) = Stab(g_i^1) \times Stab(g_j^2)$ for all $(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$, so $\cap_{(g_i^1, g_i^2) \in F_1(g_i^1)} Stab(g_i^1, g_i^2) = \{i_{G_1 * G_2}\}$. Hence, $F(g_i^1)$ is a fixing set for $G_1 * G_2$. Let $\acute{F}$ be a subset of $V(G_1 * G_2)$ such that $|\acute{F}| < l$, consider $\acute{F}_1 = \{g_i^1 \in V(G_1) | (g_i^1, g_j^2) \in \acute{F} \ for\ some\ g_j^2 \in V(G_2)\}$ and $\acute{F}_2 = \{g_i^2 \in V(G_2) | (g_i^1, g_i^2) \in \acute{F} \ for\ some\ g_i^1 \in V(G_1)\}$. Since, $|\acute{F}| < l$ so $|\acute{F}_2| < l$, also $fix(G_2) = l$ which gives that $\acute{F}_2$ is not a fixing set for G_2, i.e. $\cap_{g_j^2 \in \acute{F}_2} Stab(g_j^2) \neq \{i_{G_2}\}$. Hence, $\cap_{(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in \acute{F}} Stab(g_i^1, g_j^2) \neq \{i_{G_1 * G_2}\}$, which gives that $F(g_i^1)$ is a minimum fixing set for $G_1 * G_2$.
3) By Corollary 2.15, $Stab(g_i^1, g_i^2) = Stab(g_i^1) \times Stab(g_i^2)$ for each $(g_i^1, g_i^2) \in V(G_1 * G_2)$. Suppose $max\{fix(G_1), fix(G_2)\} = fix(G_2)$ and let $F_1 = \{g_1^1, g_2^1, \ldots, g_k^1\}$, $F_2 = \{g_1^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_l^2\}$ be the minimum fixing sets for G_1 and G_2, respectively. Consider, the set $F = \{(g_1^1, g_1^2), (g_2^1, g_2^2), \ldots, (g_k^1, g_k^2), (g_k^1, g_{k+1}^2), \ldots, (g_k^1, g_l^2)\}$, where $|F| = l$. Since, $\cap_{g_i^1 \in F_1} Stab(g_i^1) = \{i_{G_1}\}$ and $\cap_{g_j^2 \in F_2} Stab(g_j^2) = \{i_{G_2}\}$, so $\cap_{(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in F} Stab(g_i^1, g_j^2) = \{i_{G_1 * G_2}\}$, which gives that F is a fixing set for $G_1 * G_2$. Let $\acute{F}$ be a subset of $V(G_1 * G_2)$ such that $|\acute{F}| < l$. Consider $F_1 = \{g_i^1 \in V(G_1) | (g_i^1, g_j^2) \in F \ for\ some\ g_j^2 \in V(G_2)\}$

and $F_2 = \{g_j^2 \in V(G_2) | (g_i^1, g_j^2) \in \acute{F} \ for \ some \ g_i^1 \in V(G_1)\}$. Since, $|\acute{F}| < l$ so $|F_2| < l$, which gives that F_2 is not a fixing set for G_2, i.e. $\cap_{g_j^2 \in F_2} Stab(g_j^2) \neq \{i_{G_2}\}$. Hence, $\cap_{(g_i^1, g_j^2) \in \acute{F}} Stab(g_i^1, g_j^2) \neq \{i_{G_1 * G_2}\}$, which gives that F is a minimum fixing set for $G_1 * G_2$. □

Theorem 3.7. *If G_1 is a rigid graph having a dominating vertex and G_2 is a non rigid graph having no false twins, then $fix(G_1 * G_2) = 2fix(G_2)$.*

Proof. Let $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$ be the dominating vertex of G_1 and $\acute{G_1} =< V(G_1) \setminus \{g_i^1\} >$ be the induced subgraph of G_1. Proposition 3.2, gives that $\acute{G_1}$ is also rigid graph, the definition of co-normal product gives that $< V(G_1 * G_2) \setminus G_2(g_i^1) >= \acute{G_1} * G_2$ and by Observation 4, we have $G_1 * G_2 = \acute{G_1} * G_2 + < G_2(g_i^1) >$. Now, by Lemma 3.3, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = fix(\acute{G_1} * G_2) + fix(G_2)$, because $< G_2(g_i^1) > \cong G_2$. Also, Theorem 3.6 (2), gives that $fix(\acute{G_1} * G_2) = fix(G_2)$. Hence, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = 2fix(G_2)$. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let G_1 be a rigid graph having a dominating vertex. If $G_2 \cong P_n$; $n \geq 4$, then $fix(G_1 * G_2) = 2$ and if $G_2 \cong C_n$; $n \geq 5$, then $fix(G_1 * G_2) = 4$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let G_1 be a graph having $k \geq 1$ dominating vertices and $l \geq 1$ different equivalence classes of false twins having cardinality $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_l \geq 2$. If G_2 be a graph which have no false twins, then $fix(G_1 * G_2) = n(\sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i - l) + kfix(G_2)$.*

Proof. Let $D = \{g_1^1, g_2^1, \ldots, g_k^1\}$ be the set of all dominating vertices of G_1 and $G_1^1, G_2^1, \ldots, G_l^1$ be the distinct equivalence classes of false twins of G_1. Now for each $g_j^2 \in V(G_2)$, the set $G_i^1 \times \{g_j^2\}$ is an equivalence class of false twins in $G_1 * G_2$ having cardinality $m_i \geq 2$ gives that $G_1 * G_2$ have nl equivalence classes of false twins. Also, $G_1 * G_2 =< (V(G_1) \setminus D) \times V(G_2) > + < D \times V(G_2) >$ gives that $fix(G_1 * G_2) = fix(< (V(G_1) \setminus D) \times V(G_2) >) + fix(< D \times V(G_2) >) = fix(\acute{G_1} * G_2) + kfix(G_2)$ □

Theorem 3.10. *For any two graphs G_1 and G_2, $max\{fix(G_1), fix(G_2)\} \leq fix(G_1 * G_2) \leq m_1 m_2 - 1$*

Proof. Let $F \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$, be an arbitrary set such that $|F| \leq max\{fix(G_1), fix(G_2)\} = fix(G_2)$, $F_1 = \{g_i^1 \in V(G_1) | (g_i^1, g_j^2) \in F \ for \ some \ g_j^2 \in V(G_2)\} \subseteq V(G_1)$ and $F_2 = \{g_j^2 \in V(G_2) | (g_i^1, g_j^2) \in F \ for \ some \ g_i^1 \in V(G_1)\} \subseteq V(G_1)$. Since, $|F| < fix(G_2)$ so F_2 is not a fixing set for G_2, i.e. $\cap_{g_j^2 \in F_2} Stab(g_j^2) \neq \{i_{G_2}\}$, which gives that there exist a non trivial automorphism $\psi \in \cap_{g_j^2 \in F_2} Stab(g_j^2)$ such that $G_1 * G_2$ still have a symmetry of the form (i, ψ). Hence, F is not a fixing set for $G_1 * G_2$ so $max\{fix(G), fix(H)\} \leq fix(G_1 * G_2)$. The upper bound directly follows from the definition of $G_1 * G_2$, if G_1, G_2 are both complete or both are null graphs. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs such that G_1 have r distinct equivalence classes $G_1^1, G_2^1, \ldots, G_r^1$ of false twins and G_2 have s distinct equivalence classes*

$G_1^2, G_2^2, \ldots, G_s^2$ *of false twins. If* $|G_i^1|, |G_j^2| \geq 2$ *for each* i *and* j*, then* $fix(G_1 * G_2) = |G_1||G_2| - rs$*.*

Proof. Since for each i and j, $G_i^1 \times G_j^2$ is an equivalence class of false twins in $G_1 * G_2$. Also, the collection $G_i^1 \times G_j^2$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq l$ gives a partition of $V(G_1 * G_2)$ such that $|G_i \times H_j| \geq 4$. Hence, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = |G_1||G_2| - rs$. □

Corollary 3.12. *For* $G_1 \cong K_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_r}$ *and* $G_2 \cong K_{l_1,l_2,\ldots,l_s}$*,* $fix(G_1 * G_2) = |G_1||G_2| - rs$*.*

Theorem 3.13. *Let* G_1 *be a connected graph and* G_2 *be a graph having* $G_1^2, G_2^2, \ldots, G_s^2$ *distinct equivalence classes of false twins with* $|G_j^2| \geq 2$*, for each* j*. If* G_1 *have no false twins, then* $fix(G_1 * G_2) = |G_1| fix(G_2)$*.*

Corollary 3.14. *If* G_1 *is a path graph of order* m_1 *and* G_2 *be a null graph of order* m_2*, then* $fix(G_1 * G_2) = m_1(m_2 - 1)$*.*

Theorem 3.15. *Let* G_1 *be a graph of order* m_1 *having no false twins and* G_2 *be a star graph of order* $m_2 + 1$*, then* $fix(G_1 * G_2) = m_1(m_2 - 1) + fix(G_1)$*.*

Proof. Let $V(G_1) = \{g_1^1, g_2^1, \ldots, g_{m_1}^1\}$ and $V(G_2) = \{g_1^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_n^2, g_{m_2+1}^2\}$, where $g_{m_2+1}^1$ be the dominating vertex in G_2. For each $g_i^1 \in V(G_1)$, the class $\{g_i^1\} \times (V(G_2) \setminus \{g_{m_2+1}^2\})$ is an equivalence class of false twins in $G_1 * G_2$. Now, by Lemma 3.3, the class $\mathcal{G}(g_{m_2+1}^2)$ is not fixed by any vertex from $V(G_1 * G_2) \setminus \mathcal{G}(g_j^2)$ also $\mathcal{G}(g_j^2) \cong G_1$. Hence, $fix(G_1 * G_2) = m_1(m_2 - 1) + fix(G_1)$. □

Theorem 3.16. *For any two integers* $m_1, m_2 \geq 2$*, if* G_1 *is a star graph of order* m_1+1 *and* G_2 *be a star graph of order* m_2+1*, then* $fix(G_1 * G_2) = m_1m_2 + m_1 + m_2 - 3$*.*

Proof. Let $V(G_1) = \{g_1^1, g_2^1, \ldots, g_{m_1}^1, g_{m_1+1}^1\}$ and $V(G_2) = \{g_1^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_{m_2}^2, g_{m_2+1}^2\}$, where $g_{m_1+1}^1$ is dominating vertex of G_1 and $g_{m_2+1}^2$ is dominating in G_2. The equivalence classes of false twins in G_1 are $G_1^1 = \{g_1^1, g_2^1, \ldots, g_{m_1}^1\}$, $G_2^1 = \{g_{m_1+1}^1\}$ and $G_1^2 = \{g_1^2, g_2^2, \ldots, g_{m_2}^2\}$, $G_2^2 = \{g_{m_2+1}^2\}$ are equivalence classes of false twins in G_2. The classes $G_1^1 \times G_1^2$, $G_1^1 \times G_2^2$, $G_2^1 \times G_1^2$ and $G_2^1 \times G_2^2$ are equivalence classes of false twins such that $|G_2^1 \times G_2^2| = 1$. Also, $G_1^1 \times G_2^2 = \mathcal{G}(g_{m_2+1}^2) \setminus \{(g_{m_1+1}^1, g_{m_2+1}^2)\}$, $G_2^1 \times G_1^2 = \mathcal{G}(g_{m_1+1}^1) \setminus \{(g_{m_1+1}^1, g_{m_2+1}^2)\}$ and $(g_{m_1+1}^1, g_{m_2+1}^2)$ is the dominating vertex in $G_1 * G_2$. Using Lemma 3.3, we have $fix(G_1 * G_2) = m_1m_2 + fix(G_1) + fix(G_2) - 1$. □

References

[1] M. Albertson and K. Collins, Symmetry breaking in graphs, *Elect. J. Combin.*, 3(1): Research Paper 78 (Electronics), (2006).

[2] M. Borowiecki, On chromatic number of products of two graphs, *Colloq. Math.*, 25 (1972), 49-52.

[3] D. L. Boutin, Identifying graph automorphisms using determining sets, *Elect. J. Combin.*, 13(1): Research Paper 78 (Electronics), (1996).

[4] J. Caceres, D. Garijo, L. Puertas and C. Seara, On the determining number and the metric dimension of graphs, *Elect. J. Combin.*, 17: Research Paper 63 (Electronics), (2010).
[5] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, Introduction to graph theory, *McGraw-Hill Higher Education.*
[6] N. Cizek and S. Klavzar, On the chromatic number of the lexicographic product and the Cartesian sum of graphs, *Disc. Math.*, 134 (1994), 17-24.
[7] D. Der-Fen Liu and Xuding Zhu, Coloring the Cartesian sum of graphs, *Disc. Math.*, 308 (2008), 5928-5936.
[8] B. Frelih and S. Miklavic, Edge regular graph products, *The Elec. J. of Comb.*, 20 (1) (2013), 62.
[9] C. R. Gibbons and J. D. Laison, Fixing numbers of graphs and groups, *Elect. J. Combin.*, 16: Research Paper 39 (Electronics), (2010).
[10] C. Godsil and Gordon Royle, Algebraic graph theory, *Springer-Verlag, New York Inc.*, (2001).
[11] K. B. Greenfield, The fixing number of graph, *A Major Qualifying Project Report for the Degree of Bachelor of Science, WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE*, (2011).
[12] F. Harary and R. A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, *Ars Combin.*, 2 (1976) $191 - 195$.
[13] F. Harary, Survey of methods of automorphism destruction in graphs, Invited address, *Eighth Quadrennial International Confrence on Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Application, Kalamazoo, Michigan*, (1996).
[14] F. Harary, Methods of destroying the symmetries of a graph, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.*, 24(2) (2001) $183 - 191$.
[15] F. Harary and D. Erwin, Destroying automorphisms by fixing nodes., *Disc. Math.*, 306 (2006) $3244 - 3252$.
[16] W. Imrich and R. Hammack, Handbook of product graphs, *Taylor and Francis Group, LLC., 2nd ed.*, (2011).
[17] W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Product graphs structure and recognition, *John Wiley and Sons,* (2000).
[18] D. Kuziak, I. G. Yero and J. A. Rodriguez-Velazquez, On the strong metric dimension of Cartesian sum graphs, *arxiv.org*, (2015), 1509.01796v1.
[19] K. Lynch, Determining the orientation of a painted sphere from a single image: a graph coloring problem, URL: http://citeseer.nj. nec.com/469-475.html.
[20] O. Ore, Theory of Graphs, *Amer. Math. Soci.*, (1962).
[21] V. Pus, Chromatic number of products of graphs, *Tech. Rep., Charles University, Prague*, (1988), 88-85.
[22] S. Rehman and I. Javaid, Resolving, dominating and locating dominating sets in co-normal product of graphs, submitted.
[23] P. J. Slater, Leaves of trees, *Congr. Numer.*, 14 (1975) $549 - 559$.
[24] K. Yang, Chromatic number of cartesian sum of two graphs, preprint.

Centre for advanced studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan
Email: shahidurrehman1982@gmail.com, imran.javaid@bzu.edu.pk